Memorandum

Date:

June 12, 1998

To:

Ecosystem Roundtable

From:

Cindy Darling

Restoration Coordinator

Subject:

Development of Technical Teams under Proposal Soliciation Package (PSP)

Summary:

Proposals under the May 1998 PSP must be received by the CLAFED office no later than 4:00pm on July 2, 1998. Currently, Technical Review Panels are being developed to review proposals under the nine topic areas. Additionally, an Integration Panel is being developed to assist in the review process. Attached is a description of the evaluation/selected process as well as preliminary lists of the Technical Review Panels and Integration Panel.

Roundtable Action:

Review and comment on agency/non-agency balance and suggest additional names for the Technical Review Panels and Integration Panel.

Background Information:

Evaluation/Selection Process

Proposals will be reviewed using a two-step process. First, Technical Review Panels (TRPs) made up of state, federal and non-agency representative with the necessary expertise will be formed to evaluate and score proposals submitted under each of the nine Topic sections. The current make up of the review panels is listed in Appendix A.

Then the Integration Panel will evaluate recommendations from the Technical Review Panels, checking for duplicate proposals and identifying any conflicts or synergy between TRP recommendations in the different topic areas. The current make up of the Integration Panel is listed in Appendix B.

Role of the Technical Review Panels

The role of the Technical Review Panels is to evaluate and score each of the proposals on its effectiveness and ability to achieve its stated goals. The panels will use the criteria described in each Topic section to evaluate and score proposals. Scores for each of the criteria will typically range from zero to twenty-five. A proposal must receive a score of at least 40 out of a total score of 70 to be eligible for funding in this funding cycle. The TRPs evaluation of proposals will be forwarded to the Integration Panel, for their deliberation in proposing the recommended package of projects to be funded. All submitted proposals and evaluation scores become public information and will be available for review after the Integration Panel completes its review (see PSP Section II.K).

Timing/coordination for decisions

Integration Panel recommendations for funding will be reviewed by the Ecosystem Roundtable and the Bay-Delta Advisory Council. The CALFED member agencies, acting through the CALFED Policy Group, will make final funding recommendations to the implementing agencies. Final approval for funding proposals, however, rests with the implementing agency for each topic area. (See PSP Section II.E)

All funding recommendations will be coordinated with other appropriate funding sources (such as the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (CVPIA)), and programs administered through other agencies (such as the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)). Accordingly, the CALFED funding recommendations may identify co-funding or alternative funding options for projects. Proposals not funded through this PSP will be forwarded, as appropriate, to other funding sources for their consideration. Project funding provided through sources other than those listed in this PSP may include additional contract/award terms and conditions.

It is anticipated that funding decisions will be made by September, 1998. Preparation of contracts or cooperative agreements will begin as soon as projects are approved, but due to their complexity it may take considerable time to develop and execute the contracts or cooperative agreements for the successful proposals.

Appendix A - Technical Review Panels

Below is the current make up of the Technical Review Panels for the May 1998 Proposal Solicitation Package. These panels are not yet finalized. Expertise is noted, where known. The Technical Review Panels will be held to certain conflict of interest rules and requirements as described in Attachment G of the PSP.

The implementing agencies for each Topic section are noted in parentheses. Note that one review panel will be used for the Fish Passage Assessment, Fish Passage and Related Screen Improvements, and Small Screen Evaluations - Alternatives and Biological Priorities.

Fish Passage Assessment/Fish Passage and Related Screen Improvements/Small Screen

Evaluations - Alternatives and Biological Priorities (Bureau of Reclamation)

Metropolitan Water District

Department of Water Resources - engineer

Natural Resource Scientists Inc. - aquatic ecologist/fishery scientist

Bureau of Reclamation - hydraulic research engineer

California Department of Fish and Game

National Resource Conservation Service - water supply specialist

National Marine Fisheries Service - regional fish passage team

Bureau of Reclamation

Bureau of Reclamation - hydraulic technician

Alternates

California Department of Fish and Game

Bureau of Reclamation

National Marine Fisheries Service

Floodplain Management and Habitat Restoration (Fish and Wildlife Service)

California Department of Fish and Game - geomorphologist

National Resource Conservation Service - a wetland specialist familiar with the WRP

Fish and Wildlife Service - restoration ecologist

Bureau of Reclamation - plant ecologist

Metropolitan Water District - biologist

The Bay Institute - Hydrologist/geomorphologist

Stillwater Science - Hydrologist/geomorphologist

Sediment Management (CALFED)

California Department of Fish and Game - Registered Engineering Geologist

California Department of Fish and Game-hydraulic engineer

Fish and Wildlife Service - Red Bluff

University of California at Davis - research scientist

US Forest Service (?)

Fish Harvest Management Tools (CALFED)

California Department of Fish and Game

Fish and Wildlife Service - Red Bluff

Pacific Coast Fishermen's Federation and Association (?)

Golden Gate Fishermen's Association (?)

National Marine Fisheries Service (?)

University of California at Davis (?)

Species Life History (CALFED)

California Department of Fish and Game - fisheries biologist

Metropolitan Water District - consultant (tentative)

California Department of Fish and Game - Springrun chinook specialist

US Fish and Wildlife Service - green sturgeon specialist

Department of Water Resources - fisheries biologist

US Fish and Wildlife Service

University of California at Davis (?)

Local Watershed Stewardship (US Environmental Protection Agency)

Sierra Nevada Alliance

Coordinated Resources Management Program

Department of Water Resources - San Joaquin River

California Department of Fish and Game - biologist

Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board - Sacramento River

National Resource Conservation Service

US Environmental Protection Agency

Environmental Education (US Forest Service)

California Department of Fish and Game - environmental education specialist

Department of Forestry and Fire Protection - Project Learning Tree coordinator

CALFED - public information specialist

US Forest Service - Tahoe - environmental education coordinator

Appendix B - 1998 Integration Panel

The following is the current make-up of the 1998 Integration Panel. Listed are name, affiliation and expertise.

Serge Birk CVPWA instream flows, fisheries, hatcheries

Randy Brown DWR-ESO fish

Dennis Bowker Napa County RCD resource conservationist

Jerry Bruns CVRWQCB water quality

Dan Castleberry
USFWS
physiological ecology of fishes, AFRP

Jim Frazier USFS hydrology

Chris Foe RWQCB water quality

Rod Fujita EDF fisheries, marine ecology

Karl Halupka NMFS fisheries

Bruce Herbold USEPA fish biology Perry Herrgesell DFG estuarine biology

Elise Holland The Bay Institute fisheries, wetlands

Diana Jacobs State Lands Commission riparian habitats

Ken Lentz USBR fisheries

Karen Miller FWS endangered species recovery

Terry Mills CALFED chinook

Fred Nichols USGS estuary ecology

Sannie Osborn USACE general environmentalist

Dave Paullin USFWS Central Valley habitat restoration

Tim Ramirez Tuolumne River Trust geomorphic habitat restoration, hydrology

Pete Rhoads MWD

Bill Trush McBain & Trush geomorphology